

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,578 02/14/2002		02/14/2002	Wilhelm Aichele	HOE-678	1576
20028	7590	02/10/2004		EXAM	INER
		BARRY R LIPSITZ	HAMILTON, ISAAC N		
755 MAIN S MONROE,		58		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ				3724	12
				DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Int rvi w Summary

		•	ĸ
Application No.	Applicant(s)		V
10/077,578	AICHELE, WI	LHELM	V
Examiner	 Art Unit		1
Isaac N Hamilton	3724		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Isaac N Hamilton</u> .	(3) <u>Mr. Allan Shoap</u> .					
(2) Mr. Barry R. Lipsitz.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>06 February 2004</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	e)⊠ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) ☑ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT (Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse si	last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See					
- 1						

It was suggested that move specific language be used to overcome such references as Wilson '634 and Roncato '479. It is submitted that these references reduce oscillations by the provided botting.

It was suggested, for example, that more specific language to the tensioning and the location of the bearings may help in overcoming the art.

Allan N. Shoap Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required